UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,786	02/12/2002	Norishige Morimoto	JP920000444US1	7209
IBM CORPOR	7590 07/20/200 ATION	EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTU.	AL PROPERTY LAW	DURAN, ARTHUR D		
P.O. BOX 218 YORKTOWN, NY 10598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				· <u>,</u> ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/074,786	MORIMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Arthur Duran	3622			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
Period fo						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' , cause the application to become AB	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			·			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	ıly 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,24 and 31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>3-5, 24, 31</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intension S	ummary (PTO-413)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-092) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 3-5, 24, 31 have been examined.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's confirmation of the election with traverse of Claims 3-5, 24, 31 in the reply filed on 7/5/07 is acknowledged.

Response to Amendment

2. The Amendment filed on 7/5/07 is insufficient to overcome the prior rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 3-5, 24, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gerace (5,848,396).

Claims 3, 24, 31: Gerace discloses a content registration/management system comprising:

content registration request reception means, for receiving a request for content registration from a content provider that provides content (Figures 5a-5d; col 3, lines 4-20);

identifier provision means, for setting an identifier, based on said request that is received, to be added to said content that is to be provided a user terminal, and for providing said

Art Unit: 3622

identifier to a content provider (col 17, lines 52-col 18, line 10; col 6, line 57-col 7, line 23); and

a content ledger database, for storing information related to said identifier provided said content provider (col 33, lines 35-col 34, line 27).

As noted in the citations above, Gerace discloses that ad packages are identified, ad series are identified. Gerace also discloses that individual ads are placed in a table (Fig. 5d). Gerace also discloses that individual ads are tracked, performance data for each ad is tracked and reported, and the analysis of the performance of individual ads (col 18, lines 10-26; col 18, lines 50-col 19, line 5; col 5, lines 25-40; claims 9 and 13). Therefore, individual ads are also given identifiers. Identifiers would be necessary for each ad in order to store, track, report, compare, analyze the ads and the ad performance.

Also, Examiner notes that Gerace discloses that advertisements are a form of content or agate information (col 2, lines 60-67).

Claim 4: Gerace discloses the content registration/management system according to claim 3, further comprising:

identifier reception means, for receiving from a user terminal an identifier provided for said user terminal (col 6, line 57-col 7, line 24); and

content reproduction information collection means, for collecting, based on the reception of said identifier, information related to the reproduction of content (col 6, line 57-col 7, line 24; col 33, lines 35-col 34, line 27).

Claim 5: Gerace discloses the content registration/management system according to claim 4, further comprising:

Art Unit: 3622

an advertisement ledger database for registering a list of contents that can be used as advertisement media (Figures 2, 3a); and

notification means for searching said advertisement ledger database based on said received identifier, and for transmitting an advertisement distribution request to an advertiser (Figures 2, 3a; col 20, lines 9-30; col 16, lines 45-55; col 33, lines 35-col 34, line 27).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are not found persuasive.

Examiner notes that it is the Applicant's claims as stated in the Applicant's claims that are being rejected with the prior art. Also, although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). And, Examiner notes that claims are given their broadest reasonable construction. See *In re Hyatt*, 211 F.3d 1367, 54 USPQ2d 1664 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

And, Examiner notes that Applicant's Remarks spend several pages disclosing what the Gerace reference teaches. Examiner notes that teaching of a preference does not constitute a teaching away from the proposed combination under review. See In re Fulton, 391 F.3d 1195, 1199-1200, 73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146 (Fed. Cir. 2004). And, Gerace is a wide ranging and detailed reference with numerous variations. Hence, Applicant's pointing to what Gerace discloses is one section that was not cited by the Examiner is not necessarily relevant to what Gerace is cited as disclosing that is relevant to the Applicant's claim features.

Art Unit: 3622

And, on page 13 of the Applicant's Remarks dated 7/5/2007, Applicant states:

"Gerace does not disclose or even suggest a content registration/management system including content registration request reception means, for receiving a request for content registration from a content provider that provides content, identifier provision means, for setting an identifier, based on said request that is received, to be added to said content that is to be provided a user terminal, and for providing said identifier to a content provider and a content ledger database, for storing information related to said identifier provided said content provider."

On page 13, Applicant further states:

"Nowhere in Figs. 5a-5d show or even suggest applicants' element comprising content registration request reception means, for receiving a request for content registration from a content provider that provides content.

However, Gerace discloses what information is provided in content registration (Figures 5a-5d) and that the Sponsor logs in and then registers content with the system (col 17, lines 51-67). Also, Gerace discloses the general network structure thru which Sponsors login and register new content with the system (Figure 3a).

On page 14, Applicant further states:

"Nowhere within the Gerace text cited is their found applicants' identifier provision means, for setting an identifier, based on said request that is received, to be added to said content that is to be provided a user terminal, and for providing said identifier to a content provider. . .

Finally, while the Examiner asserts that Gerace at col. 33, line 35-col. 34, line 27, discloses applicants' claimed content ledger database, for storing information related to said identifier provided said content provider, applicants again respectfully disagree."

Art Unit: 3622

However, Examiner notes that it is not stated whether the content identifier is unique to each piece of content or is unique to the Sponsor. For example, an identifier can be used to identify the Sponsor and then the identifier placed with each piece of content. Or, a unque identifier can be made for each piece of content. The claims merely state that there is id related to the content.

And, Gerace discloses unique identification of the Sponsor which is associated with the ads/content the Sponsor places (Figures 5a-5d, "Ad Pacakge" and "Sponsor ID", "Ad Series" and "Package ID", Advertisement and "Series ID"). Hence, in Figures 5a-5d and the reporting of Gerace (col 33, line 34-col 34, line 27) every advertisement is uniquely identified and every advertisement is also identified as to what Sponsor the ad was placed by. Note that Gerace can bill the Sponsor by how many times each individual ad was viewed or clicked or an order is placed thru (col 12, lines 7-22). Hence, Gerace tracks and identifies each individual ad and that ads related with its Sponosor placement. Also, note that in the table for Figure 5d that each record is a different ad and that it is standard database practice that each record in a table is uniquely identified.

Also, Gerace discloses "identification of item selected by user (via click of mouse with cursor positioned on item)" (col 6, line 67-col 7, line 2).

And, as noted in the rejection above, Gerace discloses that ad packages (Figure 5b, "Sponsor ID") are identified (Figure 5c, "Pacakge ID") and ad series are identified. Gerace also discloses that individual ads are placed in a table (Fig. 5d). Gerace also discloses that individual ads are tracked, performance data for each ad is tracked and reported, and the analysis of the performance of individual ads (col 18, lines 10-26; col 18, lines 50-col 19, line 5;

Art Unit: 3622

col 5, lines 25-40; claims 9 and 13). Therefore, individual ads are also given identifiers.

Identifiers would be necessary for each ad in order to store, track, report, compare, analyze the

ads and the ad performance.

Also, Examiner notes that Gerace discloses that advertisements are a form of content or agate information (col 2, lines 60-67). Hence, in Gerace, the features that apply to content/agate information also apply to advertisements and vice versa.

Hence, Gerace discloses the features of the Applicant's claims.

Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- a) McElfresh (2003/0149937) discloses tracking individual advertisements which are given identifiers (Abstract; fig 3a, 3b);
- b) Merriman (5,948,061) discloses tracking individual advertisements which are given identifiers (Fig 3b).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Duran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6718. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arthur Duran Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622